

Chlorine-36 data from CRONUS-EU calibration sites - Recent landslides in the Southern French Alps

Silke Merchel, Lucilla Benedetti, Régis Braucher, Didier Bourlès, Vasily Alfimov[#]

CEREGE, CNRS UMR 6635, Universite Aix-Marseille III, France, merchel@ccrege.fr: "PSI/ETH Laboratory of Ion Beam Physics, ETH Hoenggerberg, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland

MAG36

CL01

Introduction

- >in-situ produced cosmogenic nuclides valuable tools for environmental and Earth sciences
- >progress in accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) >>> determination of radionuclide concentrations as low as 104-105 atoms/(g rock)
 - >>> quantifying Earth's surface processes
- > accurate application of this method only possible, if production rates in certain environment over certain time period exactly known >>> but necessary data found in the literature differs a lot





Aim of the study

- > one of European project "CRONUS-EU" goals: high quality calibration of ³⁶Cl production rate at independently dated surfaces
- our part: samples from two medieval landslide areas in the Southern French Alps
 - (N 45°30', E 5°58', 800-900 m, V = 2 x 10⁶ m³ (Couture et al. 1997), 1442 AD) "Le Claps"
 - *Mont Granier" (N 44°36', E 5°27', 330-420 m, V = 500 x 10⁶ m³ (Nicoud et al. 1998), 1248 AD)
- calcite rich samples from bedrock and big boulders



	LLNL	ETH/PSI
sample form	AgCI	
sample holder	stainless steel with AgBr inlet	Cu disk with Ta insertion; AgBr inlet if necessary
extraction as	CI	
terminal voltage	8.3 MV	6.1 MV
measured ratios (this work)	3 – 8 x 10 ⁻¹⁴ ("Le Claps")	2 x 10 ⁻¹⁴ – 1 x 10 ⁻¹³ ("Mont Granier")
blank ³⁶ Cl/ ³⁵ Cl (this work)	9 x 10 ⁻¹⁵	3 x 10 ⁻¹⁵
machine background	1 x 10 ⁻¹⁵	
"standard"	"Nishiizumi"	norm. to "Nishiizumi"

Résumé

- still awaiting final results of some target element and element concentrations influencing the production by the ³⁵Cl(n,y)³⁶Cl reaction
 - >>> we cannot yet determine precise production rates
- > able to measure ³⁶Cl from very young surfaces for first time
- some problems with inheritance
- > it still has to be discussed how valid production rates based on this short time scale will be for the application on longer time periods
 - >>> detailed discussion on influence of variation of Earth's magnetic field and cosmic radiation itself has to follow



References

R. Couture et al., Can. Geotech. J. 34 (1997) 102. D. Kollár, Ph.D. thesis, Bratislava (2003). J. Masarik & R.C. Reedy, Earth Planet, Sci. Lett. (1995) 381. G. Nicoud et al., Actes du Collogue Myans (1998). J.O. Stone et al., Geochim, Cosmochim, Acta 60 (1996) 679. F.M. Phillips et al., Geophys. Res. Lett. 23 (1996) 949. F.M. Phillips et al., Chem. Geology 175 (2001) 689 J.R. Southon et al., Radiocarbon 34 (3) (1992) 473. H.-A. Synal et al., Nucl.I nstr. Meth. Phys.Res. B 92 (1994) 79. H.-A. Synal et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. B 123 (1997) 62. M.G. Zreda et al., Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 105 (1991) 94. Acknowledgements

P.-H. Blard, L. Palumbo and I. Schimmelpfennig for helpful discussions and taking part in the field work. R. Finkel for AMS measurements at LLNL. CRONUS-EU for funding.